COLUMBIA HIGH 1701 Westchester Dr. Columbia, S. C. 29210 9-12 High School GRADES 864 Students ENROLLMENT Dr. MiUndrae Prince 803-731-8949 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Ronald L. Epps 803-231-7500 Vince Ford 803-231-7556 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 8 13 6 3 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: **EXCELLENT** ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 10 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

Z

Columbia High 40010

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Average	N/A
2003	Average	Below Average	No
2004	Good	Excellent	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School				chools with s Like Ours		
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	59.2	N/A	N/A	71.4	N/A	N/A		
Passed 1 subtest	19.4	N/A	N/A	14.7	N/A	N/A		
Passed no subtests	21.5	N/A	N/A	13.9	N/A	N/A		

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	95.9%	94.4%

Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
7.1	10.7
7.1	10.9
53.8	44.8
	7.1 7.1

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	176	181		
Number of Diplomas	144	131		
Rate	81.8%	73.3%		

Columbia High 4001002

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Gra	Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	172	95.9	169	7.1	176	81.8	YES		
Gender									
Male	71	97.2	71	9.9	75	80.0	N/A		
Female	100	95.0	98	5.1	101	83.2	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	7	100.0	4	I/S	4	I/S	N/A		
African-American	163	96.3	164	6.7	170	82.4			
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	0	N/A	1	I/S	N/A		
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	170	95.9	155	7.7	154	86.4	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	2	I/S	14	0.0	22	50.0	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	165	95.8	169	7.1	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	165	95.8	169	7.1	176	81.8	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	46	95.7	115	0.0	66	74.2	N/A		
Full-pay meals	119	95.8	54	22.2	110	86.4	N/A		

Columbia High 40010

HSAP PERFORMANCE							-	-	
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participat:
						/	% ₹		_
Engl All Students	ish/Langua 208	ge Arts - 1 94.7	State Peri	ormance 32.8	Objective 24.6	= 33.3% 18.6	52.5	YES	YE
Gender	200	34.1	24.0	32.0	24.0	10.0	32.3	ILO	16
Male	104	92.3	30.3	29.2	20.2	20.2	49.4	N/A	N/
Female	104	97.1	18.1	36.2	28.7	17.0	55.3	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group	104	31.1	10.1	30.2	20.7	17.0	33.3	IN/A	111/
White	13	92.3	9.1	9.1	27.3	54.5	90.9	I/S	I,
African-American	189	95.2	24.3	34.3	24.9	16.6	50.9	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1/S	1/S	1/S	I/S	10.0	1/S	I/S	1
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	ı,
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1
Disability Status	-	IN//A	IN//A	IN//A	IN//A	14/74	IN//A	1/3	1
Not Disabled	175	96.6	15.3	35.0	28.7	21.0	59.2	N/A	l N
Disabled	33	84.8	76.9	19.2	N/A	3.8	11.5	I/S	1
Migrant Status	00	04.0	70.5	10.2	14// (0.0	11.0	1/0	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N
Non-Migrant	208	94.7	24.0	32.8	24.6	18.6	52.5	N/A	N.
English Proficiency	200	01.7	21.0	02.0	21.0	10.0	02.0	14/71	
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	205	94.6	23.8	32.6	24.9	18.8	53.0	N/A	N.
Socio-Economic Status	200	00	20.0	02.0	2 1.0	10.0	00.0	1471	
Subsidized meals	92	96.7	30.9	40.7	18.5	9.9	35.8	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	116	93.1	18.6	26.5	29.4	25.5	65.7	N/A	N
	Mathemati	rs - State	Performa	nce Ohie	ctive = 30	0%			
All Students	208	93.8	38.1	33.7	19.9	8.3	37.6	YES	N
Gender	200	00.0	00.1	00.1	10.0	0.0	0110	. 20	
Male	104	91.3	44.3	20.5	22.7	12.5	45.5	N/A	N
Female	104	96.2	32.3	46.2	17.2	4.3	30.1	N/A	N
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	13	92.3	9.1	9.1	54.5	27.3	90.9	I/S	I.
African-American	189	94.2	39.5	35.3	18.0	7.2	34.7	YES	N
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	175	96.0	28.8	39.1	22.4	9.6	42.9	N/A	N.
Disabled	33	81.8	96.0	N/A	4.0	N/A	4.0	I/S	I.
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N.
Non-Migrant	208	93.8	38.1	33.7	19.9	8.3	37.6	N/A	N.
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
Non-Limited English Proficient	205	93.7	38.0	33.5	20.1	8.4	38.0	N/A	N.
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	92	94.6	45.6	35.4	12.7	6.3	22.8	NO	YE
Full-pay meals	116	93.1	32.4	32.4	25.5	9.8	49.0	N/A	N

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Columbia High 4001002 SCHOOL PROFILE **High Schools** Median Our Change from with Students Hiah School Last Year Like Ours School Students (n= 864) Retention rate 10.4% Down from 10.7% 9.8% 9.1% Attendance rate 95.6% Down from 96.5% 95.9% 96.0% Eligible for gifted and talented 13.0% Down from 21.7% 3.6% 5.8% With disabilities other than speech 15.8% 14.2% Up from 13.0% 12.7% Up from 9.8% 9.8% Older than usual for grade 10.2% 11.4% Out-of-school suspensions or 0.1% Down from 1.9% 1.5% 1.6% expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses 10.2% Enrolled in AP/IB programs 15.0% Down from 22.8% 10.3% Successful on AP/IB exams 17.1% 33.3% 53.8% 2.1% Down from 2.7% 2.8% Annual dropout rate 2.7% Career/technology students in 5.2% Down from 5.8% 7.3% 3.6% co-curricular organizations Enrollment in career/technology center 633 Up from 523 364 466 courses Students participating in 12.5% Up from 11.9% 20.4% 25.7% worked-based experiences Career/technology students mastering 60.4% Down from 65.6% 75.5% 77.7% core competencies 98.5% 99.3% Career/technology completers placed 98.6% Up from 98.1% Teachers (n= 66) 54.5% 49.5% 52.0% Teachers with advanced degrees Up from 46.8% Continuing contract teachers 63.6% Up from 62.9% 81.0% 82.1% Highly qualified teachers** 75.6% N/A 90.7% 89.5% Teachers with emergency or 23.7% 9.0% 8.6% provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year 86.4% Up from 84.2% 85.8% 86.2% 93.6% Down from 95.2% Teacher attendance rate 94.7% 95.3% \$41.060 Average teacher salary \$42,324 Up 3.6% \$40.640 Prof. development days/teacher 6.6 days Down from 7.1 days 10.6 days 10.6 days Principal's years at school 5.0 Up from 4.0 4.0 3.0 Down from 24.0 to 1 26.4 to 1 26.4 to 1 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 23.6 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.2% Down from 90.4% 89.1% 90.0% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7.039 Up 3.3% \$6.347 \$6.310 Percent of expenditures for teacher 58.2% Up from 58.1% 58.2% 57.9% salaries* Opportunities in the arts Excellent No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 62.4% Up from 53.1% 92.2% 89.3% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Average N/A Good Good * Prior year audited financial data are reported. **Our District** State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools** 91.3% 92.0% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools** 90.3% 91.1% State Objective Met State Objective

65.0%

95.3%

Yes

Yes

Highly qualified teachers in this school**

Student attendance in this school

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

Columbia High 400°

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Columbia High School is a comprehensive high school located in Richland County School District One. Accredited by the SC Department of Education, the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools and the College Board, the school serves approximately 879 students in grades 9-12.

Our school continues to make significant progress as was very much evident during the 2003-2004 school year. For example, the senior class earned close to three million dollars in scholarships, and three seniors were recognized as National Achievement finalists. For the fourth consecutive school year, our AFJROTC unit won the Superintendent's Trophy, and both instructors received the "Outstanding Instructor's Award" from the U.S. Air Force. We also had a student selected to attend the Governor's School next year. Moreover, eight students received the Educational Achievement Award for their outstanding performance on the 2004 HSTW Assessment.

Our instructional program continues to address the need to raise the academic expectations for all students. Primary staff development models to address this need include High Schools That Work and the Principles of Learning. Through training sessions for teachers coupled with delayed opening staff development sessions, we will continue to work on areas to assist us in fostering an environment where all students can be successful at high levels of expectations.

This past school year we administered the new exit exam (HSAP) to all students who have attended high school for at least two years. Our efforts for the upcoming school year will be that of examining and disaggregating test data as a means of addressing strengths and weaknesses that students may have and to focus on reading and writing throughout all subject areas.

Our desire continues to be that of increasing the percentage of students passing various standardized tests. The PTSO and SIC have been very instrumental in promoting more parental involvement in all facets of the school. Along with the administration and faculty, both organizations are working to address student apathy and changing the mindset of all students, as many of them appear comfortable with minimal performance.

MiUndrae Prince, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	55	115	27					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	66.7%	57.0%	70.4%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	78.2%	63.7%	59.3%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	31.5%	73.5%	44.4%					
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools with	out grade 11 only	the highest grade	was included.					